

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,267	01/22/2001	Raphael Angeline Alfons Ceulemans	CM1882	5069
27752	7590 06/18/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			DELCOTTO, GREGORY R	
6110 CENTER	6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/744,267	CEULEMANS ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: 3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would	, ,					
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · —	•				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•				
Claim(s) allowed:		•				
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-6,8,9,12 and 14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	a) approved on b) discon	around by the Evereiner				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		<u>-</u>				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme10. Other:	m(s)(P10-1449) Paper No(s).	Gregory R. Del Cotto Primary Examiner				
	RELEASE.	Art Unit: 1751				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/744,267

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9, and 12 under 35 USC 102(a) and rejection of claim 8 under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over WO 98/17758 has been withdrawn.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are insufficient to overcome the rejection(s) as set forth in Paper #8 which have maintained for the reasons of record.